

**Subject:** [Fwd: Additional comments on Unit Converter]  
**From:** David Neumann <David.Neumann@colorado.edu>  
**Date:** Fri, 04 Jan 2008 13:57:44 -0700  
**To:** philw@colorado.edu

You didn't see this one, it was my original comments.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Additional comments on Unit Converter  
Date: Wed, 22 Aug 2007 09:45:32 -0600  
From: David Neumann <david.neumann@colorado.edu>  
To: [applegaj@colorado.edu](mailto:applegaj@colorado.edu), Edie Zagona <[zagona@colorado.edu](mailto:zagona@colorado.edu)>, [lynn@colorado.edu](mailto:lynn@colorado.edu), Bill Oakley <[billo@colorado.edu](mailto:billo@colorado.edu)>

Joe and others,

I see you checked in the unit converter. I played with it a little more and here are some additional comments:

1. The width of the user units seems too small especially compared to the width of the value. Choose a Type like VolumeUncertainty and see that you can't really see the end of the units.
2. Can you move "Convert From:" to line it up with "To:"?
3. Do we need to add the word "User Units" or is it obvious enough?
4. Was there any discussion on alphabetizing the Types and User Units. I see in the old dialog that they are alphabetical but in all of the new style unit configuration, they are based on some other order. What I would really like (for all unit pulldowns) is having the main types (Flow, Volume, Length, Power?, and Energy?) at the top, then have the rest in alphabetical order below with the main ones repeated again. Lets discuss this at the software meeting.

David